

PROTOCOLS — WORLD CONQUEST

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

Curtiss shares the Universal Jewish Encyclopedia job on the Protocols with Theodore H. GASTER (pp. 46-60) and GASTER is listed in Who's Who in WORLD Jewry of 1955. There, like Curtiss, he is listed as a Guggenheim Fellow. Our tax money made him a Fulbright Professor, University of Rome, 1951-52. Since 1947 he has been with the Hebrew Union School of Education, N.Y. and is contributing editor of the Universal Jewish Encyclopedia.

Our Senate Committee calls upon another "impartial" judge of the PROTOCOLS which are "killed" with this:

"One need not be a specialist in historical research or have any extensive knowledge of matters Jewish to see through the fraudulent nature of the 'Protocols' after a cursory glance."

This is quoted from a work called: "Anti-Semitism, Historically and Critically Examined" by HUGO VALENTIN of Sweden.

Another "Impartial Witness"

This "impartial" authority is listed in Who's Who in World Jewry (1955) as a writer of Jewish books, etc. and for 23 years Chairman of the SWEDISH ZIONIST ORGANIZATION. Herbert Willett adds his "forgery" bit.

The little three-page harangue ends with a paragraph including this:

"The subcommittee believes that the peddlers of the 'Protocols' are peddlers of un-American prejudice who spread hate and dissension among the American people . . . they, like the Communists . . . would set religion against religion. Both would subvert the American system."

How About Another Report?

Would this Senate Committee also denounce the Jewish Pharisee religion consigning Christ to eternal Hell under "boiling semen" and all of His followers to eternal Hell under "boiling excrement?" Or reprimand the doctrine that all non-Pharisees rank as "the people who are like an ass—slaves who are considered the property of the master" (the Talmudist) (Kethuboth Illa, etc.) ?? Or take a shot at the "great" Maimonides, Talmudic idol who taught that it is a crime to free ANY slave unless he be a "Jew"? How about a lecture on the teaching from one end of the TALMUD to the other that it is always sinless of a grown man if he rapes a baby girl UNDER three years and one day old? Yes, TRUE. Also illegal.


Protocols and Talmud

The overall spirit of both Talmud and Protocols is identical, namely that ANY means is justifiable to subdue "the people who are like an ass"—the GOYIM or non-humans. Naturally humans do not wish the animals to scamper about unfenced. They must be milked and beefed or their eggs taken, at the will of the masters. Any resentment on the part of the non-Pharisee "animals" is always treated as "anti-Semitism," or "persecution of the JEWS." The Talmudic doctrine, that it is sinless to cheat, lie, steal and murder if the subject be a goy is never disputed. Who are the peddlers of HATE? Why not a Senate report on this cause of world unrest?

The PROTOCOLS are merely a series of plans by which the "animals" may be lured into cowbarns for milking. They lack the smut, the blasphemy of Scripture, the Christ-hating properties of the TALMUD. Our statesmen speak in synagogues, Yeshivas and other institutions erected for the promulgation of the TALMUD.

NILUS TRANSLATES THEM

It was in 1905 that Serge Nilus, a Russian, translated the Protocols from the French and in 1906 a copy was registered in the British Museum. It is clear from the statement of Nilus who included these Protocols in his book, "The Great in the Small," that he considered them part of the Biblical Anti-Christ plan. He had received them in 1901. He wrote, in part:



Theodor Herzl 1860-1904

It is believed the original secret minutes, in Yiddish, were stolen from Herzl by the Russian Secret Police at the First Zionist Congress in 1897.

"If it were possible to prove their authenticity (received from a secret source, named) and to discover the persons who head this world plot and hold the bloody threads in their hands, the 'mystery of iniquity' would be broken, but it must remain inviolate until its incarnation in the 'son of perdition.' For any observing, reflecting Christian, are there really too few proofs of the authenticity of the Protocols of Zion in the world round about and in the events for which his country and the universe are the theatre, which follow before ones eyes in a whirlwind of iniquity like an uninterrupted storm . . . ?" (p. 250).

The Senate Committee report on the Protocols repeats the various "killings" the Protocols have had by the inventions of Jewry. These include:

1. That the Protocols were "forgeries" invented by the Russian czarist police:

"More than 60 years ago the czarist intelligence service concocted and peddled a confection called the Protocols of the Elders of Zion . . ." Again:

"It was clearly demonstrated . . . that large portions of the Protocols were plagiarized from a book written to discredit the government of Napoleon III. Moreover, the French volume, it has been shown, was once the property of the Russian political police; and there is other evidence to indicate that the 'protocols' originated with and were used by the Russian political police."

2. "At a recent trial in Bern, Switzerland, the court declared the 'Protocols' to be forgeries."

The TRUTH

The only reason in the world that B'nai B'rith and its Jewish leaders move heaven and hell to suppress the circulation of the Protocols is that they outline the positive program (set forth in the TALMUD, altho unknown to Christians) which any observer may see is going on today. Whether or not the Protocols represent the program decided upon at the first Zionist Congress in 1897, or not, is unimportant.

AHAD HA-AM (Ginsberg)

A whole worship book devoted to exalting Asher Zvi Ginsberg, known as AHAD HA-AM, was published in 1960 by the top Jewish Publication Society of America. This outfit is an adjunct of the world-powerful American Jewish Committee in which the banking and industrial Jewish powers of the world converge.

AHAD HA-AM's (Ginsberg's) role as a dynamic of worldwide Zionism, as a prophet, as a motivating force in the secret society which preceded the World Zionist Organization, is all set forth on bended

knee, as it were, by the author, Leon Simon, of this book "AHAD HA-AM." But, when the accusation was made that he constructed the Protocols, screams of rage rent the Jewish world. This Russian Jew later moved to England. But in Russia he had devoted himself so diligently to TALMUDIC study that "Rabbi Joseph Saul Nathanson of Lemberg, one of the greatest talmudists then living" treated him as an equal. Ginsberg was raised in the Hasidic, demon-invoking, cabalistic voodoo branch of Talmudism, called Hassidism. Until he was fifteen his father had provided him with a tutor in TALMUD. He would have found no trouble in systematizing its program for ruthless world power for the "humans."

The Book's Cover

Born in 1856, died in 1927, the cover of the book on AHAD HA-AM includes this: "Ahad Ha-Am, no less than Theodor Herzl, has exerted an influence on contemporary Jewish thought affecting the communal, the Zionist and the religious aspects of life. His spiritual nationalism is still echoed from pulpit and platform and expounded in books and journals. His ideas have become current intellectual coin, so that even their users are frequently unaware of their origin. Ahad Ha-Am lives on in Jewish thought . . . etc."

The Joly Argument

The Senate statement above that "large portions of the Protocols were plagiarized from a book written to discredit the government of Napoleon III," refers to the old saw used to "kill" the Protocols, namely that the Protocols were copied from a book by Maurice Joly in 1864. Joly was implying that Napoleon III was following the Talmudic program of the Protocols. A reading of Jewish histories might raise the suspicion that he may have been correct. His uncle, Napoleon Bonaparte, had drowned Europe in Christian blood. The approach of his armies was the signal for the burning of ghetto gates, wild enthusiasm on the part of Jewry, and the election of Jewish mayors and other officials. The Pope was driven into exile, altho he had been of "liberal" mind. He came back with the fall of Napoleon riddled of his former leanings toward Jewish "liberalism." Jewish encyclopedias hail Napoleon as Jewry's boy friend.

After the wave of Jewish power unleashed by the French revolution, in which Jewry played such a prominent role, and after the fall of Napoleon with his opening of the ghetto gates, in all ways, intelligent Christians all over Europe sensed the situation. It is not strange that opponent critics arose, like the German Hedsche, in 1868, and Gougenot des Mousseaux, with his "Le Juif," in 1869. Nor is it strange that these informed men should have made statements about this Jewish program similar to those in the Talmudic Protocols.

Assassination ended the irrefutable writing of the well-known des Mousseaux, just as death threats and a bombing threatened to end the paper of the late crusader, Conde McGinley, from time to time.

LUCIEN WOLF in 1920

Lucien Wolf, the British Barney Baruch of his day, "killed" off the Protocols by pompous discourses to the effect that the Protocols were merely quotes from the German by the name of Hermann Hedsche who, he said, had been fired for delinquency from the Prussian postal service; that he borrowed material from contemporary Russians and from Gougenot des Mousseaux, one who blamed "democratic" revolutions on the Jews. He bothered with no proofs. This "democratic" Jewish picture, however, is marred a bit by his own statement in the London Pall Mall Gazette of Nov. 24, 1895:

"In spite of contrary affirmations, I maintain that Jews are first of all JEWS and afterwards English, and if this were otherwise I would be greatly distressed for Judaism. I do not intend to spend time on the absurdity that a sentiment of limited nationality should be imposed on a people above cosmopolitanism."